Children With Autism Observe Social Interactions in an Idiosyncratic Manner
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Previous eye-tracking studies have reported that children with autism spectrum disorders (ASD) fixate less on faces in comparison to controls. To properly understand social interactions, however, children must gaze not only at faces but also at actions, gestures, body movements, contextual details, and objects, thereby creating specific gaze patterns when observing specific social interactions. We presented three different movies with social interactions to 111 children (71 with ASD) who watched each of the movies twice. Typically developing children viewed the movies in a remarkably predictable and reproducible manner, exhibiting gaze patterns that were similar to the mean gaze pattern of other controls, with strong correlations across individuals (intersubject correlations) and across movie presentations (intra-subject correlations). In contrast, children with ASD exhibited significantly more variable/idiosyncratic gaze patterns that differed from the mean gaze pattern of controls and were weakly correlated across individuals and presentations. Most importantly, quantification of gaze idiosyncrasy in individual children enabled separation of ASD and control children with higher sensitivity and specificity than traditional measures such as time gazing at faces. Individual magnitudes of gaze idiosyncrasy were also significantly correlated with ASD severity and cognitive scores and were significantly correlated across movies and movie presentations, demonstrating clinical sensitivity and reliability. These results suggest that gaze idiosyncrasy is a potent behavioral abnormality that characterizes a considerable number of children with ASD and may contribute to their impaired development. Quantification of gaze idiosyncrasy in individual children may aid in assessing symptom severity and their change in response to treatments. Autism Res 2020, 13: 935–946. © 2019 International Society for Autism Research, Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

Lay Summary: Typically, developing children watch movies of social interactions in a reliable and predictable manner, attending faces, gestures, actions, body movements, and objects that are relevant to the social interaction and its narrative. Here, we demonstrate that children with ASD watch such movies with significantly more variable/idiosyncratic gaze patterns that differ across individuals and across movie presentations. We demonstrate that quantifying this gaze variability may aid in identifying children with ASD and in determining the severity of their symptoms.
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Introduction

Throughout life, we continuously select our visual input by actively controlling gaze position [Henderson, 2003; Schroeder, Wilson, Radman, Scharfman, & Lakatos, 2010]. Gaze behavior, therefore, governs the exposure that a child has to social stimuli and their ability to learn social skills through experience-dependent plasticity [Hensch, 2005]. When observing social interactions, human gaze behavior is often attracted to faces, which contain important social information regarding the intentions, feelings, and goals of others [Baron-Cohen, Wheelwright, & Jolliffe, 1997; Ekman & Friesen, 1971]. This preference for faces is evident already in infants during their first months of life [Batki, Baron-Cohen, Wheelwright, Connellan, & Ahluwalia, 2000; Frank, Vul, & Johnson, 2009; Johnson, 2005]. However, a preference for faces is not the only factor governing gaze behavior. Additional factors that are apparent in typically developing toddlers include an attraction to visually salient stimuli [Helo, van Ommen, Pannasch,

It has been proposed that gaze abnormalities in children with ASD may reduce their early exposure to social information and impair their ability to learn basic social skills [Klin, Shultz, & Jones, 2015]. Indeed, a common behavioral symptom of ASD is reduced eye contact [Senju & Johnson, 2009; Tanaka & Sung, 2016], and previous eye-tracking studies have reported that children with ASD exhibit weaker gaze preferences for people [Moore et al., 2018; Pierce et al., 2016], faces [Chawarska, Macari, & Shic, 2012; Chita-Tegmark, 2016; Constantino et al., 2017; Jones, Carr, & Klin, 2008; Jones & Klin, 2013; Papagiannopoulos, Chitty, Hermens, Hickie, & Lagopoulos, 2014; Riba & Hancock, 2009; Rice, Moriuchi, Jones, & Klin, 2012; Wang, Campbell, Macari, Chawarska, & Shic, 2018], biological motion [Falck-Ytter, Rehnberg, & Bölte, 2013; Klin, Lin, Gorrindo, Ramsay, & Jones, 2009], and following the gazes of others [Bedford et al., 2012]. These studies have suggested that quantifying gaze behavior with eye tracking may be a potent technique for estimating the initial severity of social symptoms in ASD and sensitively tracking their change over time or in response to treatments [Frazier et al., 2018; Sasson & Elison, 2012].

The studies described above have quantified gaze abnormalities in children with ASD using two types of measures. The first estimates the relative amount of time that children gaze at manually defined regions of interest (ROI) within each frame of the movie. ROIs typically include the face, eyes, mouth, body, objects, or other items of potential interest (e.g., an object that is being manipulated) [Chawarska et al., 2012; Jones et al., 2008; Jones & Klin, 2013]. The second estimates the relative amount of time that children gaze at each side of a split-screen that contains two different stimuli (e.g., children exercising on one side and geometrical shapes on the other [Moore et al., 2018; Pierce et al., 2016]). Both of these measures estimate gaze abnormalities using summary statistics that quantify the total amount of time that a child gazes at a particular stimulus, regardless of when they gazed at it (i.e., ignoring the temporal gaze pattern).

An alternative approach is to compare the actual moment-by-moment gaze patterns of ASD and typically developing children during observation of movies, given that movies are known to create strong correlation in the gaze patterns of neurotypical individuals [Franchak et al., 2016; Shepherd et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2012]. With this in mind, two studies have used multidimensional scaling [Nakano et al., 2010] or cohesion [Wang et al., 2018] measures to demonstrate that gaze patterns of individuals with ASD are more variable than those of controls. To date, the utility of different measures for identifying ASD and control children has not been compared directly and the reliability of these measures across different types of movies or movie presentations has not been tested.

In the current study, we presented ASD and control children with three short movies, each of which was presented twice. Two of the movies were professionally animated and one was a naturalistic homemade video, all contained social interactions between at least two individuals. We used both an ROI based and a data-driven approach to quantify gaze behavior abnormalities in each of the ASD children. This experimental design enabled us to compare findings across movies, presentations, and eye-tracking measures as well as quantify the gaze pattern idiosyncrasy of individual children. Assessment of individual children is particularly important given the large heterogeneity in gaze behaviors of ASD children that is commonly reported in eye-tracking studies [Campbell, Shic, Macari, & Chawarska, 2014; Moore et al., 2018; Pierce et al., 2016; Rice et al., 2012].

Methods
Participants

Our final analyzed sample included 111 children who were recruited at the National Autism Research Center of Israel [Meiri et al., 2017]. This sample consisted of 71 children diagnosed with ASD according to DSM-5 criteria (mean age: 5.1 years old ± 1.8, 59 males), and 40 typically developing children (mean age: 4.5 years old ± 2.1, 25 males). There were no significant differences in age across the two groups (t(71.4) = 1.5, P = 0.14, d = 0.3)). We also performed all of the analyses with a subsample of 28 ASD and 28 control children who were tightly matched for gender (21 males in each group) and age (±2 months). ASD severity was assessed using the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule 2 [Lord et al., 2000], and cognitive scores were measured using the Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence [Wechsler, 2002] or the Bayley Cognitive Scales [Albers & Grieve, 2007]. Children with ASD had a meanADOS-2 score of 15.4 ± 6.2 (range 5–27) and a mean cognitive score of 81.4 ± 16 (range 50–117).

Control children did not participate in ADOS or cognitive assessments due to limited availability. However, parents of all control children completed the Social Responsiveness Scale (SRS) [Rutter, LeCouteur, & Lord, 2015] to ensure that SRS scores were below clinical concern...
cut-offs (i.e., maximum of 75) [Moody et al., 2017]. Control children had a mean SRS score of 35.7 ± 14.5 (range 5–67). The final sample described above excluded one control child with an SRS score >80 as well as eight ASD children and two control children with partial data acquisition (see criteria below). The study was approved by the Soroka Medical Center Helsinki committee and the Ben Gurion University internal review board committee. Written informed consent was obtained from all parents.

Eye Tracking

Children were seated on either an adapted car seat with straps or a comfortable chair (depending on their physical size) such that their head was approximately 60 cm from the screen (head distance was monitored continuously by the eye tracker). The screen was mounted on an adjustable arm from the wall such that children could rest their heads on the back of the seat/chair and minimize head movements. The left eye gaze position was recorded from all participants at a sampling rate of 500 Hz using an EyeLink 1000+ head-free eye-tracking system (SR Research Inc., Canada). The eye tracker’s infrared camera was located below the display screen and focused on the eyes of the child. A head-tracking sticker was placed on the forehead of the child and the eye tracker was calibrated by presenting five brief salient stimuli at the center and four points of the screen. Calibration was then validated (i.e., stimuli were presented again) to ensure that gaze accuracy was within 2° of initial calibration. Data were acquired and analyzed using Experiment Builder and Data viewer (SR research Inc. Canada). Additional analyses were performed using Matlab (Mathworks Inc.).

Experimental Design

After calibrating the eye tracker, children freely viewed three short movies that were presented twice in identical order to all children. Each movie was 1.5 min long such that the total experiment lasted approximately 10 min. Between the movies, a sequence of 10 salient targets (animated ducks) were presented to induce saccades—this data will be analyzed in a separate study. In addition, the accuracy of calibration was tested before and after each movie using a single target, and recalibration was performed when the error exceeded 2°. Each movie was presented with its original soundtrack through hidden speakers.

The first movie was a segment of the Pixar animation “Jack-Jack Attack” with a soundtrack in English. The segment depicts the adventures of a babysitter who is trying to take care of an infant with supernatural powers. The second movie was a segment of the Walt Disney animation “The Jungle Book” with a soundtrack in English. In the chosen segment, Mogli meets the Monkey king who sings and dances while interacting with other monkeys. The third movie was a naturalistic un-cut home video of social interaction in a typical messy room with everyday objects, between two sisters (2 and 5 years old) who were interacting in Hebrew.

Preprocessing and Data Quality

Children were included in the final sample if their gaze position was recorded successfully for at least 60% of the experiment. We identified segments of lost gaze position or eye blinks lasting <200 msec and linearly interpolated the data to keep the total number of samples identical across subjects. Larger segments of missing data due to movements of the children were labeled and excluded from the analyses.

Data Analysis

Head and eye ROIs were manually traced in each frame of the naturalistic movie only. We quantified the percent of time that each child fixated within each ROI relative to the total time that the child watched the movie. Next, we performed three different analyses to assess the differences in moment-by-moment gaze patterns across groups. First, we computed the mean gaze position across all control subjects for each movie frame in each of the three movies. We then computed the Euclidian distance between the gaze position of each subject and the mean gaze position of the control group. Distances were averaged across frames to create a mean distance measure for each child in each movie. When calculating this measure for each of the control children, the mean of the control group was recalculated without the data of the examined child to ensure statistical independence. Second, we computed the inter-subject correlation of gaze patterns across pairs of children in each group. Correlations were computed separately for the x and y gaze positions and then averaged. We computed the mean inter-subject correlation for each child by averaging across all pairs involving the child. Finally, we computed within-subject correlations by computing the correlation in gaze position across the two presentations of each movie.

A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was performed by calculating the true-positive rate (TPR) and false-positive rate (FPR) of identifying ASD children when using different criterion values for each of the eye-tracking measures. ROC curves were plotted and the area under the curve (AUC) was computed for each measure. The optimal criterion value for each ROC plot was computed using the Youden Index [Youden, 2006], which is the criterion yielding the largest sum of sensitivity (i.e., TPR) and specificity (i.e., 1 – FPR).

Statistics

Between-group differences were assessed using two-sample t-tests with unequal variance, testing for the null
hypothesis that the data come from independent random samples from normal distributions with equal means but not assuming equal variances. Correlations between eye-tracking and behavioral measures were all calculated using the Pearson’s linear correlation coefficient.

Results

Time Gazing at Faces and Eyes

We manually delineated face and eyes ROIs on each frame of the naturalistic movie. ASD children gazed at the face ROI significantly less than controls ($t(82.8) = -2.7, P = 0.01, d = -0.54$, Figure 1). There was a similar, nonsignificant, trend for the eyes ROI ($t(63.2) = -1.66, P = 0.1, d = -0.36$). Note the considerable heterogeneity across individuals of both groups and considerable overlap across groups. Performing the same analysis between the age and gender-matched groups did not reveal significant differences in the gaze time toward faces ($t(53.6) = -0.25, P = 0.8, d = -0.07$) or eyes ($t(52.2) = -0.2, P = 0.85, d = -0.05$).

Gaze Distance from the Control Group Mean

We computed the distance between the gaze position of each child and the mean gaze position of the control group for each of the movies (see Methods, Fig. 2). To ensure statistical independence, when computing this measure for each of the control children, the control group gaze pattern was recomputed without the data of that child (i.e., in a leave-one-out manner).

ASD children exhibited significantly larger distances from the typical gaze pattern than control children in the naturalistic ($t(109) = 3.3, P < 0.001, d = 0.73$) and Jungle Book ($t(109) = 2.8, P = 0.01, d = 0.56$) movies. A nonsignificant trend in the same direction was also apparent in the Jack-Jack movie ($t(75.3) = 1.7, P = 0.1, d = 0.34$). Similar results were apparent when analyzing the tightly matched ASD and control groups for the naturalistic ($t(49.6) = 1.8, P = 0.002, d = 0.87$), Jungle Book ($t(54) = 1.9, P = 0.09, d = 0.47$), and Jack-Jack ($t(36.5) = 1.4, P = 0.07, d = 0.5$) movies.

Individual distances from the typical gaze pattern were significantly, negatively correlated with the time that individual ASD children gazed at the face ROI.
Figure 3. Consistency of distance from control group gaze pattern across movies and presentations. Scatter plots of ASD children demonstrate significant correlations in the distances from control group gaze pattern, across movies (A–C), and across presentations (D–F). Asterisks: significant correlation (**$P < 0.001$).

Figure 4. Group separation with ROC analyses. (A) ROC curves demonstrate the sensitivity and specificity of accurately separating ASD and control children based on gaze distance (solid black), face ROI (dashed black), or eyes ROI (dotted gray) measures from the naturalistic movie. (B) Comparison of ROC curves when using the gaze distance measure in the naturalistic (solid black), Jack-Jack (solid gray), or Jungle Book (dashed gray) movies.
(positive predictive value = 0.75, negative predictive values = 0.52) and eyes (positive predictive value = 0.74, negative predictive values = 0.49) ROIs. This analysis was performed only for the naturalistic movie where we manually defined the faces and eyes ROIs.

We also performed analogous ROC analyses to compare the discrimination of ASD and control children using the distance measure with each of the three movies. This revealed that higher separation between groups was apparent when using the naturalistic movie (AUC = 0.71, 95% CI 0.61-0.8), followed by the Jungle Book movie (AUC = 0.64, 95% CI 0.52-0.74), and then the Jack-Jack movie (AUC = 0.63, 95% CI 0.52-0.73, Fig. 4B).

**Inter Subject Correlations**

In a complementary analysis, we computed inter-subject correlations to quantify how similar each child’s gaze pattern was to that of the other children in their group. We computed the mean correlation between the gaze pattern of each child and the gaze pattern of every other child in their group—yielding an individual measure of inter-subject correlation. Children with ASD exhibited significantly weaker inter-subject correlations with their peers (i.e., more idiosyncratic gaze patterns across individuals) in comparison to controls (Fig. 5A) in the naturalistic ($t(92.8) = -3.3, P = 0.002, d = -0.62$), Jack-Jack ($t(94.2) = -5.4, P < 0.001, d = -1$), and Jungle book ($t(98.2) = -7.9, P < 0.001, d = -1.47$) movies. Note that different movies elicited different magnitudes of inter-subject correlations due to differences in content and structure. Significant differences across ASD and control groups, however, were apparent regardless of the movie. Hence, the gaze patterns of children with ASD differ from each other more than the gaze patterns of control children.

Equivalent results were found when examining the tightly matched ASD and control groups for the naturalistic ($t(43.5) = -3.4, P = 0.02, d = -0.96$), Jack-Jack ($t(44.8) = -4.4, P = 0.002, d = -1.25$), and Jungle book ($t(48.6) = -2.4, P < 0.001, d = -0.68$) movies. ASD children who exhibited lower inter-subject correlations also exhibited larger distances from the control group gaze pattern as demonstrated by a significant negative correlation between the two measures in the naturalistic movie ($r(71) = -0.44, P < 0.001$) (Fig. 5B).

**Intra-Subject Correlations**

To assess within-subject reproducibility in gaze behavior, we computed the correlation of gaze patterns across

![Figure 5. Lower inter-subject and intra-subject correlations in ASD children. (A) Bee-swarm plots demonstrating the inter-subject correlation values of individual ASD (light gray) and control (dark gray) children. Results are presented for the Jack-Jack (left), Jungle book (middle), and Naturalistic (right) movies. Horizontal line: group mean. Each circle represents a single child. Asterisks: significant group differences (**P < 0.01, two-sample t-test with unequal variance). (B) A scatter plot presenting the relationship between inter-subject correlation and distance from the control group gaze in the Naturalistic movie. Line: least squares linear fit. Asterisks: significant Pearson’s correlation (**P < 0.05, **P < 0.01). (C) Bee-swarm plots demonstrating the intra-subject correlation values of individual ASD and control children (same format as panel A). (D) Scatter plot presenting the relationship between intra-subject correlation and distance from the control group gaze in the Naturalistic movie. (E) A scatter plot presenting the relationship between intra-subject correlation and inter-subject correlation in the Naturalistic movie.](image-url)
separate presentations of the same movie. ASD children exhibited smaller intra-subject correlations than control children demonstrating that they viewed each of the movies in a more idiosyncratic and less reproducible manner (Fig. 5C). Significant differences were found in the naturalistic ($t(84.4) = -3.6, P = 0.001, d = -0.76$) and Jack-Jack ($t(93.2) = 3.4, P = 0.001, d = -0.65$) movies and a weak nonsignificant trend was apparent in the Jungle book movie ($t(91.1) = -1.2, P = 0.2, d = -0.23$). Note that here too, there were differences in the magnitudes of correlations across the different movies, yet the differences across groups were mostly consistent across movies. Children with lower intra-subject correlations also exhibited larger distances from the control group gaze pattern as demonstrated by a significant negative correlation across the two measures in the naturalistic movie (Fig. 5D, $r(71) = -0.36, P < 0.01$). The same children also exhibited lower inter-subject correlations as demonstrated by a significant positive correlation across the two measures in the naturalistic movie (Fig. 5E, $r(71) = 0.5, P < 0.001$).

**ASD Severity and Cognitive Abilities**

Significant correlations were apparent between the total ADOS-2 scores and each of the three eye-tracking measures in the naturalistic movie (Fig. 6): distance from the control group gaze ($r(71) = 0.37, P = 0.002$), inter-subject correlation ($r(71) = -0.46, P < 0.001$), and intra-subject correlation ($r(71) = -0.32, P = 0.01$). In contrast, no significant correlations were found for the faces or eyes ROI measures ($r(71) > -0.13, P > 0.36$).

Converting the scores to ADOS-2 comparison scores revealed similar correlations with the distance from the control group gaze ($r(71) = 0.25, P = 0.07$), inter-subject correlation ($r(71) = -0.43, P = 0.002$), and intra-subject correlation ($r(71) = -0.32, P = 0.07$). Significant correlations were also found between the cognitive scores and two of the eye-tracking measures in the naturalistic movie: distance from the control group gaze ($r(71) = -0.33, P = 0.03$) and inter-subject correlation ($r(71) = 0.32, P = 0.04$). A trend in the same direction was noted for the intra-subject correlation measure ($r(71) = 0.2, P = 0.1$). In contrast, no significant correlations were observed for the face or eyes ROI measures ($r(71) > -0.13, P > 0.36$).

**Visual Exploration**

In a final analysis, we assessed potential differences in visual exploration by comparing the number of saccades per second across the two groups. We averaged the number of saccades across the two presentations for each child and then performed the following comparisons. There were no significant differences between groups in the number of saccades per second in the naturalistic movies: 1.75–1.83) and control (range across movies: 1.77–1.9) groups and did not differ significantly across movies ($P > 0.16$ for the three pairwise comparisons in each group).
Discussion

Our results are in agreement with two previous studies [Nakano et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2018] in demonstrating that young children with ASD observe social interactions in a considerably more variable and idiosyncratic manner than control children. This was apparent across several complementary analyses of the children’s gaze patterns. First, the gaze patterns of ASD children deviated more from the mean gaze pattern of the control group in comparison to the gaze patterns of control children (Fig. 2). Second, the gaze patterns of the ASD children differed from each other, in comparison to those of control children, as demonstrated by weaker inter-subject correlations in the ASD group (Fig. 5A). Third, ASD children exhibited weaker reproducibility in their gaze patterns, as demonstrated by weaker intra-subject correlations, when observing the same movie repeatedly (Fig. 5C). These differences across groups were not due to differences in the amount of visual exploration of the children as demonstrated by similar amounts of saccades per second in both groups and across all movies. Hence, larger gaze idiosyncrasy in ASD was driven by variability in the spatial locations of the saccades/fixations rather than their amount.

Gaze idiosyncrasy measures of individual children were reproducible across movies and movie presentations (Fig. 3), and all three measures of gaze idiosyncrasy were significantly correlated with each other (Fig. 5). Furthermore, all three measures were significantly correlated with ASD symptom severity as assessed by the ADOS and two of the measures were also significantly correlated with cognitive development scores (Fig. 6). Hence, gaze idiosyncrasy measures may be useful indicators of social and cognitive symptom severity.

Larger differences across ASD and control groups were apparent when using the naturalistic homemade video containing a social interaction between two sisters (Fig. 4). This demonstrates that the content of the observed movie influences the ability to discriminate ASD and control children based on their gaze patterns. The content of the three movies differed in several ways: the naturalistic movie was a homemade uncut video with a soundtrack in Hebrew, while the animated movies included professionally cut scenes with multiple viewpoints and a soundtrack in English. A systematic comparison of gaze patterns during different movies is highly warranted for identifying optimal content for discrimination across groups.

In line with other previous studies [Papagiannopoulou et al., 2014], differences across ASD and control groups were also apparent in traditional analyses, which quantified the total time that children gazed at a manually defined face ROI (Fig. 1). However, these differences across groups were weaker than those apparent when using gaze idiosyncrasy measures. An ROC analysis demonstrated that the gaze idiosyncrasy measure enabled better separation between the two groups in comparison to the face ROI measure (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, ASD symptom severity and cognitive development scores were not correlated with the face ROI gaze measure.

The Importance of Gaze Behavior in Social Development

Gaze behavior is a remarkably important skill that governs the exposure that an individual has to specific visual information [Henderson, 2003; Schroeder et al., 2010], and simultaneously, conveys potent social information regarding the individual’s state, interests, and intentions [Argyle & Cook, 1976; Emery, 2000]. The importance of specific gaze behaviors for early development is apparent in the early emergence of gaze preferences in typically developing infants and toddlers. These include a preference for faces [Baron-Cohen et al., 1997; Batki et al., 2000; Ekman & Friesen, 1971; Frank et al., 2009; Johnson, 2005], biological motion [Fox & McDaniel, 1982; Simion et al., 2008], following the gaze of others (related to joint attention) [Argyle & Cook, 1976; Emery, 2000], and observing the targets of others actions (related to theory of mind) [Flanagan & Johansson, 2003; Oniski & Baillargeon, 2005]. These and additional factors, such as visual saliency [Helo et al., 2017], create correlation in the gaze patterns of typically developing toddlers [Franchak et al., 2016] and adults [Shepherd et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2012] as they observe movies containing social interactions.

A prominent theory of autism is that abnormalities in early gaze behaviors may explain why children with ASD develop difficulties with social interactions [Klin et al., 2015]. The hypothesis is that weaker gaze preferences to social stimuli [Bedford et al., 2012; Chawarska et al., 2012; Chita-Tegmark, 2016; Constantino et al., 2017; Falk-Ytter et al., 2013; Jones et al., 2008; Jones & Klin, 2013; Klin et al., 2009; Papagiannopoulou et al., 2014; Riby & Hancock, 2009; Rice et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2018] create a situation where children with ASD lack exposure to socially important information and develop gaze behaviors that are less appropriate for social interactions. Interestingly, the lack of visual input and gaze behavior in children who are congenitally blind creates an analogous delay in the development of social skills and these children often fulfill criteria for ASD during childhood, before many of them learn to compensate using other senses [Peter Hobson & Lee, 2010].

An important question is whether children with ASD develop alternative gaze preferences instead of a preference for social stimuli. For example, it has been suggested that individuals with ASD are more attracted to low-level visual saliency [Wang et al., 2015]. Our results and those of two previous studies [Nakano et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2018] suggest that rather than displaying consistent alternative visual preferences, children with ASD develop variable and idiosyncratic gaze behaviors that are inconsistent across
individuals and even within individual across movie presentations.

Different Approaches to Measuring Gaze Behavior

Previous eye-tracking studies have used heterogeneous stimuli, measures, and analyses to quantify differences in gaze behavior between ASD and control children. Many have simulated a dyadic interaction with the viewer, where an adult speaks to the viewer in an attempt to capture their attention as a parent may interact with a child [Campbell et al., 2014; Chawarska et al., 2012; Jones & Klin, 2013; Katarzyna, Fred, & Ami, 2010]. Other examples include a movie where a child performs yoga-like exercises while facing the camera [Pierce et al., 2016], or movie clips of two children interacting [Nakano et al., 2010]. In some cases, the movies were cut such that they included transitions across multiple scenes or clips, while in other cases they contained a single scene (i.e., more naturalistic). These differences in stimulus content, context, and structure are likely to generate differences in the gaze behavior of the viewer’s [Hasson et al., 2008] and are likely to influence the ability to separate ASD and control children using gaze patterns, as indeed seen in our results (Fig. 4).

Differences across studies are further compounded by the different measures and analyses used to quantify gaze abnormalities in ASD. While many have used traditional ROI analyses that measure the overall amount of time that children gaze at a particular part or side of the screen [Chawarska et al., 2012; Jones et al., 2008; Jones & Klin, 2013; Klin et al., 2009; Pierce et al., 2016], more recent studies have compared the moment-by-moment gaze patterns of children (Constantino et al., 2017; Nakano et al., 2010; Q. Wang et al., 2018), which take into account the temporal structure of their gaze behavior rather than focusing only on overall attraction to a single visual feature. Analyzing the rich spatiotemporal dynamics of gaze behavior during the observation of real-life social stimuli is likely to reveal important differences in the gaze behavior of ASD children that may not be captured by previous ROI analyses.

Note that there are many ways of analyzing gaze patterns and quantifying how they may differ in children with ASD. Here, our main analysis focused on the distance between the gaze pattern of individual children and the mean gaze pattern of the control group. However, the mean gaze pattern of the control group may not capture typical gaze preferences accurately in situations where, for example, there are several socially salient stimuli in a given frame (e.g., two individuals gazing at a shared object). More advanced measures that can learn this complexity (e.g., using novel deep learning techniques) may, therefore, be able to identify abnormalities in the gaze patterns of children with ASD with even larger accuracy and specificity.

With this in mind, a critical focus of future ASD eye-tracking studies should be to compare multiple stimuli, measures, and analysis approaches within the same children and demonstrate the benefits of different choices. In addition, assessing the reliability of measures across different movies and across multiple movie presentations, as performed here (Fig. 3), is also crucial for developing optimal eye-tracking protocols that can identify and quantify symptom severity in children with ASD.

The Utility of Measuring Variability and Idiosyncrasy

Most ASD studies focus on results where the mean of a given measure (e.g., amount of time gazing at faces) differs between ASD and control participants. Our study joins a growing body of literature, which demonstrates that quantifying idiosyncrasy may be particularly useful for identifying individuals with ASD and assessing the severity of their symptoms [Dinstein, Heeger, & Behrmann, 2015]. For example, several neuroimaging studies have demonstrated the individuals with ASD exhibit more variable cortical responses [Byrge, Dubois, Tyszka, Adolphps, & Kennedy, 2015; Dinstein et al., 2012; Haigh, Heeger, Dinstein, Minshew, & Behrmann, 2015] and idiosyncratic functional connectivity during rest [Hahamy, Behrmann, & Malach, 2015]. Quantification of idiosyncrasy in different neural and behavioral domains is, therefore, emerging as a useful approach for characterizing individuals with ASD.

Heterogeneity of Gaze Behavior in ASD

ASD is an extremely heterogeneous disorder in terms of its underlying genetics, neurophysiology, and behavioral symptoms [Happé, Ronald, & Pomin, 2006; Jeste & Geschwind, 2014]. This heterogeneity is also clearly apparent in the gaze behavior of individual children with ASD. While some have severe gaze abnormalities, others are indistinguishable from typically developing children [Campbell et al., 2014; Pierce et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018]. This was also clearly apparent in our results, where some children with ASD exhibited remarkably idiosyncratic gaze patterns while others did not (Figs. 2 and 5). This heterogeneity in gaze behavior may hold important information, not only for assessing symptom severity but also for identifying specific endophenotypes within the ASD population [Moore et al., 2018; Rice et al., 2012]. We speculate that different stimuli may have different utility for identifying and quantifying specific ASD symptoms and for tracking their improvement/deterioration over time and in response to treatment.

Conclusions

Eye tracking is likely to be one of the first technologies that will be incorporated into clinical use for assessment.
of early ASD risk and longitudinal changes in symptom severity. Optimizing the ability of eye-tracking protocols to identify and quantify specific ASD symptoms will require comparison across different stimuli, measures, and analysis techniques. The current study takes a first important step in this direction by comparing different movies and measures within the same group of heterogeneous ASD and control children. The results indicate that using naturalistic un-cut movies of children’s social interactions along with measures of gaze pattern idiosyncrasy yield improved discrimination between ASD children and controls. These measures are reproducible across movie presentations and indicative of individual symptom severity. Taken together, these results highlight the utility of gaze pattern idiosyncrasy as a reliable eye-tracking measure with strong potential for aiding clinical decisions, when used in conjunction with other measures and observations.
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